

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

IMPERIAL CO. v. TROTMAN.

June 9, 1910.

[68 S. E. 252.]

1. Master and Servant (§§ 101, 102*)—Injuries to Servant—Safe Place.—It is the duty of a master to exercise ordinary care to provide a reasonably safe place in which its servants are to work; the extent of such care depending on the circumstances of the particular case.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Master and Servant, Cent. Dig. §§ 173, 179; Dec. Dig. §§ 101, 102.* 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 669, et seq.; 689, et seq.; 14 id. 685, et seq.]

2. Master and Servant (§ 278*)—Injuries to Servant—Negligence—Anticipation of Injury.—In an action for injuries to an employee in a fertilizer factory, evidence held to show that such injury was not reasonably to have been anticipated, and that the facts were insufficient to show that defendant was negligent in failing to provide a safe place in which to work.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Master and Servant, Cent. Dig. §§ 954-972; Dec. Dig. § 278.* 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 680; 10 id. 375.]

Error to Law and Chancery Court of City of Norfolk.

Action by Lazarus Trotman against the Imperial Company. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Reversed.

Hughes & Little and P. H. C. Cabell for plaintiff in error. W. D. Stoakley and Loyd W. W. Brockenbrough, for defendant in error.

WILLIAMS v. GREEN.

June 9, 1910.

[68 S. E. 253.]

1. Easements (§ 5*)—Private Right of Way—Prescription.—To establish a private right of way over the lands of another by prescription, it must appear that the use and enjoyment thereof was adverse, under a claim of right, exclusive, continuous, uninterrupted, and with the knowledge and acquiescence of the owner of the land over which it passes, and that such use has continued for a period of at least 20 years.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Easements, Cent. Dig. §§ 13, 21, 26; Dec. Dig. § 5.* 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 310, et seq., 370; 14 id. 838, 844.]

2. Easements (§ 36*)—Private Right of Way—Continuous Use—Presumption of Grant.—Where an alleged private right of way has

^{*}For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. & Am. Digs. 1907 to date, & Reporter Indexes.